



# International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

*(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)*



Impact Factor: 8.206

Volume 8, Issue 8, August 2025



# Enclothed Cognition and Social Media Politics: A Study of Their Role in Shaping Public Opinion

Yashavati, Ph.D.

Research Scholar, Department of psychology, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

**ABSTRACT:** This review paper examines the concept of enclothed cognition in relation to social media politics, exploring how digital visual cues, political branding, and influencer endorsements on platforms like Twitter, Instagram, and Facebook shape public opinion and societal beliefs. The study delves into the psychological mechanisms at play, analyzing how these digital representations—through images, symbols, hashtags, and narratives—act as cognitive triggers that influence decision-making and political engagement. This paper reviews the implications of this phenomenon, focusing on political polarization, the role of social movements, and the psychological impacts of social media-driven political discourse. The review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how enclothed cognition extends into digital spaces, impacting both individual cognition and collective political attitudes.

**KEYWORDS:** Enclothed Cognition, Social Media Politics, Public Opinion, Political Branding, Perception Shaping, Influencer Endorsements, Political Polarization, Social Movements.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of social media as a primary platform for political communication has transformed how individuals engage with political issues, movements, and figures. Traditional methods of political engagement, such as newspapers, television, and face-to-face debates, have largely been replaced by online discussions, where political ideologies are often conveyed through carefully crafted digital identities. These digital representations can influence how individuals perceive political actors, issues, and ideologies, similarly to how clothing and attire shape perceptions of self-concept and behavior.

Enclothed cognition, a psychological theory that explores how clothing influences cognitive processes, behavior, and self-perception, offers a compelling framework for understanding the role of visual cues in the digital political arena. In this review, we explore the intersection of enclothed cognition and social media politics, considering how digital political branding, visual content, and influencer endorsements affect political engagement and public opinion.

This paper aims to provide a broad examination of the role social media plays in shaping cognitive frameworks, political identities, and societal beliefs. By investigating the connection between digital politics and enclothed cognition, we explore how the visual elements on social media platforms act as cognitive triggers that influence individuals' attitudes and decisions.

## II. ENCLOTHED COGNITION: A CONCEPTUAL OVERVIEW

Enclothed cognition, initially conceptualized by psychologist Adam Hajo, embodies the profound notion that the attire one dons exerts a substantial influence on their psychological disposition, cognitive faculties, and overall mental processes. Extensive explorations in this domain have revealed that clothing not only molds an individual's self-perception but also significantly affects how they are viewed by others, thereby shaping interpersonal dynamics and social interactions. For instance, adopting formal garments can elevate levels of abstract reasoning, enhance problem-solving abilities, and foster a sense of heightened competence, while opting for casual wear might cultivate a more laid-back, creative, or approachable demeanor. This effect stems fundamentally from the deep-seated links between specific apparel and associated roles, social statuses, professional identities, and cultural expectations, which subtly guide behavior and thought patterns in everyday scenarios.





## International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

At its core, enlothed cognition operates through two intertwined mechanisms that bridge the symbolic and the sensory realms. The first involves the emblematic significance embedded in clothing choices—for example, a tailored business suit evoking notions of professionalism, authority, and precision, or a uniform symbolizing discipline, unity, and responsibility—which can profoundly alter an individual's emotional state, motivational drive, and behavioral tendencies. This symbolic layer taps into societal norms and personal associations, prompting wearers to embody the qualities they attribute to the attire. Complementing this is the embodied dimension, where the tactile and physical sensations of wearing the clothing—such as the structured fit of a jacket instilling posture and poise, or the comfort of soft fabrics promoting relaxation—influence mood, self-assurance, and even perceptual acuity, creating a holistic feedback loop between body and mind.

Extending this paradigm into the realm of digital politics unveils intriguing parallels, where enlothed cognition transcends tangible garments to encompass the virtual aesthetics of political entities, campaigns, and ideological expressions. Here, political branding manifests through curated visual elements like evocative images, distinctive logos, harmonious color palettes, and resonant hashtags, all of which serve as digital equivalents to clothing, forging and reinforcing cognitive structures around political identities. In much the same vein as physical attire modulates personal conduct, these online depictions of political narratives subtly steer how users assimilate information, crystallize viewpoints, and navigate decision-making processes, often amplifying engagement or entrenching divisions within virtual communities. By illuminating these extensions, enlothed cognition provides a robust lens for dissecting the nuanced ways in which digital visuals permeate and transform political cognition on a broader scale.

### III. THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN POLITICAL BRANDING

In the expansive arena of contemporary digital communication, social media platforms—including but not limited to Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and an array of others such as TikTok, LinkedIn, and emerging networks—serve as vibrant repositories teeming with multifaceted visual content that profoundly molds and directs the contours of political discourse on a global scale. Political leaders, advocacy groups, grassroots movements, and institutional entities meticulously orchestrate and refine their digital identities through a sophisticated interplay of evocative images, emblematic symbols, compelling narratives, and interactive multimedia elements, all of which function as potent visual cues designed to captivate audiences and embed messages deeply within the collective consciousness. These carefully selected visual stimuli possess the remarkable capacity to summon a wide spectrum of emotional responses, from inspiration and solidarity to indignation and resolve, thereby fortifying and amplifying specific political ideologies, policy agendas, or rhetorical messages in ways that resonate on both personal and communal levels. For example, a politician's meticulously managed social media profile might strategically incorporate particular color schemes—such as bold reds to convey urgency and passion, serene blues to evoke calm and reliability, or vibrant greens to symbolize growth and environmental stewardship—alongside nuanced facial expressions that range from resolute determination to warm compassion, and symbolic icons like national flags, peace doves, or clenched fists, all harmonized to project an overarching image of unwavering trustworthiness, unyielding strength, or profound empathy that aligns seamlessly with their intended public persona.

The manner in which political figures articulate and manifest their presence in the online sphere is inherently deliberate and calculated, reflecting a strategic blueprint where every element, from the selection of attire in profile photographs or video appearances to the artistic rendering of abstract political concepts and the holistic aesthetic composition of their digital footprint, converges to forge a cohesive identity that not only mirrors but also amplifies their core political message. This curated digital identity wields considerable influence over the observer's perceptual framework, subtly guiding interpretations and evaluations while extending its reach to mold behavioral patterns, inform critical decision-making processes, and stimulate varying degrees of political engagement, whether through passive consumption of content, active participation in discussions, or mobilized actions such as petitions, donations, or protests. By leveraging the immediacy and interactivity of social media, these figures can adapt their branding in real-time to respond to unfolding events, public sentiments, or oppositional narratives, thereby maintaining relevance and authority in an ever-shifting digital landscape that demands constant innovation and authenticity.

Furthermore, hashtags and interwoven political narratives circulating across social media ecosystems emerge as indispensable instruments for the cultivation and solidification of group identities, transcending mere communication to become foundational pillars of communal cohesion and ideological reinforcement. Iconic hashtags like #MeToo, which galvanized global conversations around gender equity and survivor empowerment; #BlackLivesMatter, which



## International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

spotlighted systemic racial injustices and mobilized anti-discrimination efforts; #ClimateStrike, which united environmental activists in calls for urgent ecological action; and countless others such as #VoteBlue, #MAGA, or #Resist—serve dual purposes: they propel political causes into the viral spotlight through widespread dissemination and algorithmic amplification, while simultaneously weaving a tapestry of collective identity that binds supporters together under shared banners of purpose and solidarity. These digital symbols operate in a manner strikingly analogous to physical clothing, functioning as virtual insignias that broadcast affiliation with a particular movement, ideology, or community to both insiders and outsiders alike. Just as donning a t-shirt emblazoned with a distinctive logo, slogan, or emblem outwardly declares one's membership in a social group, cultural tribe, or organizational entity—thereby inviting recognition, camaraderie, or even confrontation—the deliberate adoption and propagation of a hashtag signifies an explicit alignment with political stances, values, and engagements, often sparking chains of interaction that reinforce commitment and expand reach through retweets, shares, and threaded conversations.

Beyond their signaling function, these digital cues engender a profound sense of belonging and interpersonal validation, nurturing an environment where individuals feel seen, supported, and integral to larger narratives, which in turn cultivates deeper emotional bonds with political movements and fosters sustained involvement over time. This emotional connectivity is amplified exponentially by the pivotal roles played by influencers, activists, thought leaders, and celebrity endorsers who harness their expansive platforms to champion political causes, deploying a repertoire of tailored visuals—such as stylized infographics, emotive video montages, live-streamed testimonials, or aesthetically curated photo series—and precisely calibrated messaging strategies to bolster their persuasive impact and extend their sphere of influence. Through authentic storytelling, relatable anecdotes, and visually arresting content, these figures not only humanize abstract political issues but also model behaviors and attitudes that followers aspire to emulate, thereby transforming passive observers into active participants and weaving intricate networks of advocacy that ripple across diverse demographics and geographic boundaries in the pursuit of societal change.

### IV. PSYCHOLOGICAL MECHANISMS BEHIND DIGITAL POLITICAL BRANDING

Among the myriad profound impacts of social media on the political landscape, one of the most salient and far-reaching effects lies in its unparalleled capacity to amplify and entrench cognitive biases, those inherent mental shortcuts and predispositions that shape how individuals process, interpret, and internalize information in their daily lives. In this digital ecosystem, users are frequently and systematically funneled toward content that resonates harmoniously with their preexisting convictions, worldviews, and ideological leanings, a process facilitated by sophisticated algorithms that prioritize familiarity and reinforcement over novelty or challenge. This selective exposure gives rise to insular echo chambers—virtual silos where dissenting voices are marginalized or altogether silenced—thereby severely restricting the breadth of perspectives encountered and perpetuating a cycle of confirmation that stifles intellectual diversity and critical inquiry. Compounding this dynamic, visual cues embedded within the platform's content, such as overtly partisan imagery that evokes nationalistic fervor or ideological symbols laden with historical significance, strategically chosen color schemes that subconsciously signal affiliation (like fiery reds for conservative passion or cool blues for progressive calm), and intensely emotionally charged materials ranging from stirring memes to provocative videos, serve to further solidify these biases by priming users' perceptual filters. Through this priming effect, individuals are subtly conditioned to decode and assimilate political messages in manners that invariably bolster their entrenched views, often bypassing rational scrutiny and fostering a distorted lens through which reality is viewed, ultimately leading to more polarized and less nuanced understandings of complex societal issues.

This entrenched reinforcement of biases inexorably fuels the escalating tide of political polarization observable across social media platforms, where divisions between ideological camps deepen into chasms that hinder constructive dialogue and mutual comprehension on a grand scale. As people grow increasingly anchored in their doctrinal fortresses, fortified by repetitive affirmations from like-minded networks and algorithmic curation, the fabric of political discourse frays, evolving into a battleground marked by heightened divisiveness, rhetorical extremism, and a diminishing appetite for compromise, empathy, or cross-aisle collaboration that once characterized healthier democratic exchanges. In this environment, influencers—encompassing a broad spectrum from high-profile celebrities with millions of followers, dedicated activists championing grassroots causes, erudite public intellectuals offering analytical insights, and charismatic opinion leaders across various niches—emerge as pivotal architects in the molding of political opinions within the social media sphere. Their strategic endorsements of specific political causes, candidates, policies, or narratives possess the remarkable potency to sway vast swaths of their audiences, leveraging parasocial relationships where followers perceive a one-sided intimacy and trust that amplifies the influencers' sway. The underlying



## International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

persuasive force of these influencers is deeply anchored in the psychological principle of social proof, a fundamental heuristic whereby individuals, navigating the uncertainties of social and political landscapes, instinctively conform their beliefs, attitudes, and actions to those modeled by figures they respect, admire, or aspire to emulate, thereby deriving a sense of security and validation from perceived consensus within their admired circles.

Drawing a compelling parallel to the realm of enclotted cognition, where particular forms of attire—such as elegant suits conferring authority or casual ensembles promoting openness—can elevate one's self-esteem, bolster perceived social standing, and even enhance performance in aligned tasks, influencer endorsements in the digital political arena function as analogous sources of social validation that uplift and legitimize political beliefs in profound ways. When a revered or popular figure publicly champions a political message through eloquent posts, captivating visuals, or impassioned calls to action, it broadcasts a powerful signal that the endorsed cause, ideology, or viewpoint is not only credible and meritorious but also socially desirable and worthy of widespread attention, investment, and advocacy. This signaling mechanism, akin to donning prestigious garments that alter self-perception and external judgments, reshapes the cognitive framework of the viewer by instilling a layer of borrowed prestige and communal endorsement, encouraging alignment through emotional resonance rather than exhaustive deliberation, and thereby perpetuating cycles of influence that extend far beyond individual interactions to shape broader societal narratives and collective political trajectories in an increasingly interconnected and visually driven world.

### V. IMPLICATIONS FOR POLITICAL POLARIZATION AND PUBLIC OPINION

In the intricate tapestry of modern democratic societies, social media has undeniably democratized political engagement by lowering barriers to participation, enabling instantaneous sharing of ideas, and empowering voices that might otherwise remain marginalized in traditional media landscapes, yet this very accessibility has inadvertently exacerbated deep-seated political divides that fracture communities and undermine cohesive discourse. The inherently targeted essence of political messaging on these platforms, orchestrated by intricate algorithms that astutely curate and prioritize content meticulously tailored to align with an individual's demonstrated interests, behavioral patterns, and interaction histories, results in a profoundly insular experience where users are seldom, if ever, confronted with a rich mosaic of diverse perspectives that challenge their assumptions or broaden their horizons. This deliberate curation, while ostensibly enhancing user satisfaction and retention through personalized feeds, perpetuates a vicious cycle of informational homogeneity that not only entrenches existing viewpoints but also fortifies political polarization, as individuals progressively cocoon themselves within echo chambers populated exclusively by like-minded peers, reinforcing tribal loyalties and diminishing the potential for cross-ideological dialogue that is essential for a vibrant and resilient democracy.

Moreover, the multifaceted visual cues and strategic branding interwoven into political messages play a pivotal role in the crystallization of partisan identities, forging unbreakable bonds of allegiance that render it increasingly arduous for individuals to meaningfully engage with, let alone cultivate empathy toward, viewpoints that diverge from their own entrenched positions. These elements—ranging from emblematic icons that evoke historical legacies to color palettes that subtly manipulate emotional responses—operate on a subconscious level, subtly sculpting perceptions and predispositions in ways that mirror the transformative power of physical attire in everyday interactions. Within this framework, the application of enclotted cognition illuminates the often-overlooked subliminal influences exerted by digital content, revealing how such virtual adornments insidiously shape the cognitive and affective responses of users, prompting instinctive reactions to political stimuli that favor reinforcement over reflection, and thereby perpetuating cycles of division where nuanced understanding yields to reflexive opposition. By embedding these visual triggers into the core of online political narratives, platforms inadvertently cultivate environments where identity politics flourish, and the human capacity for bridging divides is systematically eroded, leading to a societal landscape marked by heightened antagonism and reduced collaborative potential. Equally compelling is the pervasive influence of visual cues and branding within the realm of social movements, where activists harness the expansive reach of social media to galvanize widespread support, orchestrate coordinated actions, and amplify calls for change on an unprecedented scale. In this context, visual elements such as distinctive logos that encapsulate the essence of a cause, vibrant color schemes that stir collective passion and solidarity, and resonant slogans that distill complex ideologies into memorable rallying cries emerge as indispensable tools at the heart of mobilization efforts, transcending mere aesthetics to become catalysts for action and unity. These cues function not solely as outward symbols of protest and resistance, emblazoning digital spaces with markers of defiance and aspiration, but also as profound instruments for nurturing a robust collective identity among activists, forging bonds of shared experience and mutual commitment that transform



## International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

disparate individuals into a cohesive force capable of challenging entrenched power structures. The intrinsic power of these digital representations in propelling social movements forward resides in their extraordinary ability to rally diverse populations around a unified cause, instilling a palpable sense of shared purpose that motivates sustained involvement, inspires creative expressions of advocacy, and ultimately drives tangible societal transformations, all while drawing upon the psychological principles akin to enclotted cognition to imbue participants with a heightened sense of empowerment, belonging, and resolve in their pursuit of justice and reform.

### VI. CONCLUSION

This review highlights the intersection of enclotted cognition and social media politics, demonstrating the powerful role that visual cues, branding, and influencer endorsements play in shaping political engagement and public opinion. Just as clothing influences self-perception and behavior, the digital presentation of political ideologies and leaders impacts cognition, decision-making, and political identity. By understanding the psychological mechanisms behind these digital interactions, we gain insight into the subtle ways that social media shapes both individual and collective political attitudes, contributing to the polarization and transformation of political discourse in the modern age.

### REFERENCES

1. Hajo, A. (2015). Enclotted Cognition: The Influence of Clothing on Cognitive and Psychological Processes. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 58, 104-112.
2. Johnson, A. (2020). Social Media and Political Polarization: The Role of Visual Content. *Political Communication Quarterly*, 45(3), 230-245.
3. Smith, L., & Jackson, R. (2019). Political Branding in the Age of Social Media. *Journal of Political Marketing*, 18(4), 189-202.
4. Thomas, M. (2018). The Influence of Celebrity Endorsements on Political Attitudes. *Psychology & Politics Review*, 6(1), 55-69.
5. Elder, A. (2017). Political Identity and the Power of Symbolic Visual Cues in Digital Politics. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 1221-1242.
6. Kramer, A., & Dutton, W. (2018). The Social Media Impact on Political Movements: New Paths to Engagement. *Journal of Communication and Society*, 28(2), 44-59.
7. Vargo, C., & Guo, L. (2020). Visual Communication in Political Discourse: Analyzing Political Branding on Social Media. *Journal of Media Psychology*, 32(2), 103-114.
8. Bode, L., & Farris, M. (2019). Political Polarization and the Media Ecosystem: The Role of Social Media in Dividing America. *Journal of Political Communication*, 36(1), 36-59.
9. Tufekci, Z. (2018). *Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest*. Yale University Press.
10. Liebhart, M., & Kritzing, S. (2020). The Role of Political Symbols in the Age of Social Media: From Content to Political Mobilization. *European Journal of Political Research*, 59(3), 437-455.
11. Benkler, Y., Roberts, H., & Zeng, J. (2021). Social Media and Political Disinformation: The Role of Algorithms in Shaping Public Opinion. *New Media & Society*, 23(4), 103-120.
12. Perrin, A. (2019). Social Media Use in 2019. *Pew Research Center Report*. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/>
13. Neubaum, G., & Krämer, N. (2017). Political Participation 2.0: How Social Media and Political Identity Shape Engagement. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 20(9), 531-537.
14. Sullivan, J., & Greenfield, P. (2020). Visual Politics: The Role of Digital Visuality in Political Branding and Voter Perception. *Journal of Visual Communication*, 15(2), 102-121.
15. Frischlich, L., & Lutz, C. (2018). The Visual Politics of Political Memes: A Psychological Exploration of Their Use in Political Communication. *Political Psychology*, 39(6), 1285-1301.
16. Brockington, D., & Jackson, P. (2019). Visualizing Politics: Political Imagery and the Performance of Political Ideologies in Social Media. *Political Studies Review*, 17(3), 212-224.
17. Gibson, R., & McAllister, I. (2016). The Influence of Social Media on Political Attitudes and Behavior. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Sociology*, 52(1), 89-107.





INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | [ijmrset@gmail.com](mailto:ijmrset@gmail.com) |

[www.ijmrset.com](http://www.ijmrset.com)